

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,249	10/15/2001	Giorgio Attardo	PHARMA-123	9696	
24999	7590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, PC 2200 CLARENDON BLVD			MELLER, M	MELLER, MICHAEL V	
SUITE 1400	ANDON BEVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22201		1654		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the second s	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/976,249	ATTARDO ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael V. Meller	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of th	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final originally set in the final original origin	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 June 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			ınd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>39 and 49-73</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-38, 40-48</u>	).						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  0. Other:	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1654					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendments filed raise new issues. These amendments were not filed before final and they raise new matter issues for not having support in the specification.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reaons of record. Applicant argues that the references do not anticipate their invention but this simply is not true. Applicants state that the finality of the office action should be removed. The action was properly made final. The compounds are clearly in the pieces of prior art. For applicant to find the compounds would not have been an undue burden on applicants, its not like applicant's had to scour through columns of words to find one or two words the compounds are pretty easily found. In Cimpoia et al. example 16 clearly shows the core structure, in Chu et al., at the top of co 5 and the claims the structure is shown which reads on the core structure. In Belleau et al. '315, under "Summary of the Invention" the core structure is shown. Belleau et al. '753, example 27 clearly shows the core structure. Thus, it would have been easy for applicant to identify the claimed structure if applicant simply spent a little time to scan for the compound. The restriction and election of invention have been made clear on the record. Applicants were restricted to such a core structure simply because the structure being claimed is still so large and reads on many different compounds both structurally and functionally. Applicants have now amended the claims to include even more structures some of which have no support.